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## Outline

In today's class, we will...

- Discuss classifcation trees for classification problems.
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- But because of the greedy algorithm used to split trees, CER tends to overfit to noise
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Both regression and classification trees can easily hand either quantitatitve or binary categorical varaibles.

- But with some modification, trees can also be used with multi-level categorical variables.
- To do so, we recode all multilevel categorical variables as a sequence of dummy binary variables. Then proceed as usual.
- But this conversion has a significant downside! The algorithm is biased toward making early splits on categorical variables with many levels.
- Since trees are already prone to high variance, this additional bias can lead to unwanted increases in MSE.
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Can we predict the species of a Portand tree based on its crown height and overall height?

- YES!



## Implementing classfication trees in R

As with regression trees, we use the tree package. We restrict our attention to the 3 most common tree species.

```
library(tree)
tree_model<-tree(Common_Name ~ ., data = common_trees)
plot(tree_model)
text(tree_model, pretty = 0, cex = .5)
```
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\#\#
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\#\# tree (formula = Common_Name ~ ., data = common_trees)
\#\# Number of terminal nodes: 7
\#\# Residual mean deviance: $0.6324=5844 / 9242$
\#\# Misclassification error rate: 0.1153 = $1066 / 9249$
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We can also gather information on the model using the summary () function:

```
##
## Classification tree:
## tree(formula = Common_Name ~ ., data = common_trees)
## Number of terminal nodes: 7
## Residual mean deviance: 0.6324 = 5844 / 9242
## Misclassification error rate: 0.1153 = 1066 / 9249
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- Here, the deviance reported is given by
$-2 \sum_{m} \sum_{k} n_{m k} \ln \hat{p}_{m k} \quad$ where $n_{m k}$ is number of obs. in region $m$ in class k
- Residual mean deviance is deviance divided by $n-\left|T_{0}\right|$.
- A small deviance indicates a good fit to training data
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```
set.seed(1)
```

cv_tree_model<-cv.tree(tree_model, FUN = prune.misclass)
plot(cv_tree_model)


## Pruning Trees, cont'd

We use the prune.misclass function to prune the trees to the desired number of nodes: pruned_tree_model<-prune.misclass(tree_model, best = 4)
plot(pruned_tree_model)
text(pruned_tree_model, pretty $=0$, cex = .5)


## Misclassification

How well does the tree do on test data?
tree_preds<-predict (tree_model, common_trees_tst, type = "class" ) conf_mat<-table(tree_preds, common_trees_tst\$Common_Name) conf_mat
\#\#
\#\# tree_preds Douglas-Fir Norway Maple Western Redcedar
\#\# Douglas-Fir 4709124
\#\# Norway Maple $174 \quad 936$
\#\# Western Redcedar $190 \quad 465$
(sum(conf_mat) - sum(diag(conf_mat)))/sum(conf_mat)
\#\# [1] 0.1192158

